



# Promoting good community relations: what can RE learn from social psychology?

**Kate Christopher, Janet Orchard and Amanda Williams**



It is frequently claimed that RE has a vital role in establishing social cohesion. But does it? How do/can we know? Here Kate Christopher, Janet Orchard and Amanda Williams describe their Shared Space project, informed by contact theory.<sup>1</sup>



Janet Orchard has a PhD from the Institute of Education, University of London and has been an RE teacher and teacher educator in London, Oxford and latterly at the School of Education, University of Bristol. Her research interest is the contribution of theory, including contact theory, to teachers' professional judgement. Her most recent work is concerned with promoting 'Philosophy for Teachers' with partners in England, Hong Kong SAR and China and South Africa.

Amanda Williams obtained her PhD from York University in Toronto. As an experimental social psychologist, her research adopts a multidisciplinary and multi-method approach to examining the automatic components that underlie social cognition, gaining a richer understanding of when and how prejudicial and stereotypical beliefs develop and when these beliefs influence behaviour toward others. Go to [www.amandawilliams.ca](http://www.amandawilliams.ca) for more information.

Kate Christopher taught RE in the secondary classroom for 11 years, becoming an adviser for RE Today in 2014. She is currently completing her PhD in Philosophy of Education at the Institute of Education, UCL, considering antiracism and critical multicultural approaches to RE.

## RE, community relations and social psychology

While it is widely claimed that learning in RE can contribute to warmer social relations, this assertion has not yet been demonstrated in practice. Mark Chater has argued that although a 'causal link' between 'multi-faith RE' and 'better community relations' is widely assumed, whether or not in practice there is a link remains untested (Chater and Erricker 2013, p. 37). As Philip Barnes concludes: '[f]orty years after its introduction in Britain we do not know if there is a positive correlation between multi-faith religious education and respect for others' (2014, p. 19).

Between December 2013 and March 2014, an all-party Parliamentary group (APPG) for RE sat for three sessions to hear how RE contributes to community cohesion, during which advisers, teachers, academics and faith representatives asserted the connection. Valuable personal experiences and impressions were shared, yet still no compelling systematic evidence in support of the claim was offered (APPG 2014).

With this conundrum in mind, researchers in the field of social psychology from the University of Bristol and the National Association of Teachers of RE (NATRE) have formed a partnership to explore how relevant insights from a field related to but outside RE might suggest a potential link between RE and community relations, and practical ways forward for interested teachers based on their findings.

Within the field of social psychology there is a rich history of research examining what happens when individuals from different groups interact with each other. At the beginning of this research tradition – in the early 1950s – such intergroup interactions were atypical and characterised by anxiety and discrimination (Allport 1954). And although with growing diversity intergroup interactions are much more frequent, we still see evidence of anxious behaviour and subtle discrimination when individuals interact with diverse others (e.g. Paolini, Hewstone, Cairns and Voci 2004; Toosi, Babbitt, Ambady and Sommers 2012). In these diverse interactions, social psychological research indicates that all parties bring subtle and overt anxiety, stereotypes and prejudicial views that influence the success of the interaction.

In order to prepare future generations to successfully navigate their social world, we need to teach children how to have positive interactions with their diverse peers.

There is a wealth of research in social psychology and other fields that could be of relevance and benefit to teachers of RE interested in this area (e.g. approach training – Kawakami, Phillips, Steele and Dovidio 2007; altering the ideology of the classroom – McKeown, Williams and Pauker 2017; Rosenthal and Levy 2010). However, one strategy that can be administered easily in a classroom context is contact theory (Allport 1954). According to this theory, bringing individuals from conflicted groups together under favourable conditions can reduce prejudice and improve intergroup relations. Indeed, in more than 500 experiments these effects have been consistently replicated, providing conclusive evidence that contact theory is a valid method for improving intergroup relations across a variety of contexts (Pettigrew and Tropp 2006). The favourable conditions for contact include: equal status among those involved (within the contact situation), co-operation between groups and working towards a common goal, in conditions where the contact is socially and institutionally supported.

Such conditions have been shown to promote the meaningful discussion of difference (McKeown, Cairns, Stringer and Rae 2012) and serve to reduce anxiety and perceived threat, and increase empathy when interacting with diverse others (Pettigrew and Tropp 2008). Because of the conceptual overlap between learning about others in multi-faith RE, positive community relations and contact theory, we believe contact theory can provide practical results for the RE classroom.

We have undertaken this project mindful that promoting better community relations should not be seen as the sole mission or responsibility of RE teaching. Tensions in social relations cannot be 'reduced to religious causes' (Orchard 2015, p. 43) as 'the term "community" has socio-political as well as religious and/or cultural meaning' (Orchard 2015, p. 6). RE as a subject would be severely diminished if it were to be reduced to this aim (Orchard 2015, p. 43). Finally, responsibility for such matters is surely not solely born by schools, let alone

hard-pressed RE departments, so that religious educators should not seek to overstate their case (Orchard 2015, p. 44). Promoting community relations is a matter for other curriculum subjects, whole-school processes, parents, carers and the wider community.

The idea that people will get along better when they understand others' religious beliefs and practices is intuitive both in education and in general public opinion, particularly when issues seemingly caused by religious diversity make headline news. This project is a step on the path to systematically examining this widespread intuition. Contact theory offers insight into the types of interaction that enable a reduction in tension, prejudice or anxiety between members of different groups. A good example can be found in the work of The Linking Network ([thelinkingnetwork.org.uk](http://thelinkingnetwork.org.uk)), a Bradford-based charity that directly links schools from different communities and trains teachers in contact principles in order to inform their planning and future work in the classroom. The work of this charity shows that when teachers are more aware of power relationships in the classroom, they are better able to understand some of the root causes of poor social relations.

Another example, presented in more detail in a teachers' toolkit developed through The project (see [www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/](http://www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/)), is of the Corrymeela community, established in Belfast in 1965 to address rising alienation and violence between Catholic and Protestant groups. Today Corrymeela provides a space for dialogue, questioning and mutual learning. It has been found over the years that difficult topics must not be avoided when members of different groups come together in an attempt to bridge divisions. Insights from organisations like the Linking Network or Corrymeela give the teacher confidence when developing teaching materials to meet contact conditions in the classroom. Furthermore, it is plausible that greater levels of religious literacy promoted through RE lessons might contribute positively to community relations in enabling a more knowledgeable starting point for contact between members of different groups. Again, this project begins to examine how subject knowledge in RE might contribute to warmer social relations.



### Shared Space project

The Shared Space project examines the contribution contact theory can make to RE. As well as being a project of value in itself, it is hoped that it will open the door for future testing of claims made about RE and community relations. In introducing the project, several important points have been raised. Firstly, setting out to test a widely made assumption regarding RE's connection to improved community relations is an important step that we hope will be replicated in successive projects. This in itself raises the question as to what sort of evidence would offer insights into the success of schooling to achieve social justice aims; how is such an outcome to be defined and measured?

Secondly, the project raises questions about education, diversity and interaction that bear systematic examination. RE is a subject of the curriculum that deals in knowledge and intellectual skills as much as social and moral development. As Orchard (2015) asks, does RE have a particular responsibility above any other subject and the whole school to improve social relations? Moreover, what type of learning in RE might enable steps forward in pupils' understanding of the world that reduce prejudice or intergroup tension; factual, interpersonal or dialogical? How might teachers best create an educational space where potentially painful topics can be explored? Finally, what other fields might widen understanding of any connection between RE and community relations, such as critical multiculturalism and anti-racism in education?

As noted above, contact theory explores how interaction between members of groups seemingly at odds can reduce prejudice. Gordon Allport's (1954) influential publication suggests four conditions that, if met, have the potential to reduce prejudice:


1. Members of different groups should have equal status.
2. Groups should work towards common goals.
3. Shared tasks should involve co-operation.
4. There should be wider social and/or institutional support for the venture.

### Methodology

The project employed a 'mixed methods' approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative forms of data collection. Researchers attended a series of RE teacher meetings and conferences during 2016–17 to introduce and discuss contact theory and to recruit participants to an online survey of teachers of RE in England. This survey was also publicised via the NATRE website. The survey was designed and administered and the findings analysed by teachers and researchers together, with teachers leading on the production of a toolkit to disseminate the findings through the NATRE website ([www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/](http://www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/)).

### Findings

Based on the survey responses we identified various examples of RE teacher practice that fell into three broad categories: Encounter, Conversation and Interaction (see diagram below).



The findings suggest that RE teachers embed **conversation** into their practice. However, structured **interaction** along contact theory lines is less common. More worryingly, some teachers seem only to bring **encounter** to the classroom, without going further into an exploration of multiple views or areas of disagreement. The Shared Space project suggests that encounter on its own will not promote better community relations, although encounter and conversation can be seen as springboards to allow positive contact to take place in the RE classroom.

In short, we learned that many activities RE teachers plan to have a positive impact on social relations in their lessons may not go far enough. For example, many described activities enabling discussion or providing different outlooks, but in the absence of interaction with diverse others. We also found evidence of activities in RE that offered pupils a chance to talk to each other but were not planned with contact conditions in mind. Such activities might precede deliberate contact, but do not exemplify contact theory (Williams, McKeown, Orchard and Wright 2018).

Analysis of the teacher survey found that the majority of respondents (89 per cent) felt they applied contact principles in the classroom. However, when asked to give examples, 69 per cent did so, and of these only 23 per cent were found to meet the criteria of contact theory. Changing seating plans and setting group work were the most cited examples of contact theory in practice. However, unless the outcome of contact is planned for and monitored and the four conditions are deliberately pursued, such examples do not meet contact theory criteria. Thus there is a distinction between activities that specifically meet the conditions of contact theory (which we call 'interaction') and other types of practice that might support but do not meet contact theory's criteria. We have described these last two categories as *encounter*, where pupils are exposed to different outlooks or people, and *conversation*, which allow exploration of difference or demand empathy but in absence of diverse others (Williams, McKeown, Orchard and Wright 2018).

Teachers of RE could be well placed to promote contact theory in the classroom, where conversation and encounter can develop into deliberate, positive contact. Developing the capacity to talk about religious, ideological and cultural differences in ways that go beyond the superficial, and possibly into painful and difficult territory, would be an important aspect of this work, requiring time, institutional support for RE that is free to be collaborative and respectful of the equal status of all pupils, and skilled teaching. It is important to recognise that cross-curricular initiatives like Generation Global ([generation.global](http://www.generationglobal.org/)) also promote 'difficult dialogues' of this kind. Crucially,

positive contact needs wider institutional support; contact theory supports the claim that RE lessons alone can't promote community relations.

### Achieving positive contact in RE

Framed by the three terms (*encounter, conversation and interaction*), we have created a toolkit for teachers – available on the NATRE website ([www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/](http://www.natre.org.uk/about-natre/projects/the-shared-space-project/)) – outlining practical and age-appropriate teaching ideas to offer teachers a sense of how far their current work promotes contact and how they can strengthen it. The toolkit also sets out the work of organisations such as The Linking Network and Corrymeela that are dedicated to the very practical task of bringing people together to understand and overcome prejudice and can be learned 'about' as well as 'from' in RE. A further question for us and others is 'What distinctive contribution do encounters, conversation and interaction focused explicitly on religious, philosophical and ethical concerns make to furthering community relations?' But positive contact is unlikely to happen by accident and teachers need both training and whole-school support to promote it in classrooms. With these resources in place, RE is well situated to undertake this task alongside other curriculum subjects, offering much food for thought for teachers and school leaders. We hope future joint research will help us to develop our testing of these important matters further.

### Note

1. Contact theory is a field of social psychology described by Dr Shelley McKeown Jones from the University of Bristol as follows: 'Contact theory provides a good basis for helping educators to think about how to prepare future generations for a diverse world. Due to its focus on reducing prejudice, it is relevant to all levels of our education system and to diverse and non-diverse classrooms alike. Through subjects such as RE, it is possible to get models of best practice which focus on discussing and embracing difference and making interactions meaningful.'

### References

Allport, G. (1954), *The Nature of Prejudice* (Reading: Addison-Wesley).

APPG (All-Party Parliamentary Group on RE) (2014), *RE and Good Community Relations*. [oldrec.commissiononre.org.uk/media/file/APPG\\_report\\_RE\\_and\\_good\\_community\\_re.pdf](http://oldrec.commissiononre.org.uk/media/file/APPG_report_RE_and_good_community_re.pdf)

Barnes, L.P. (2014), *Education, Religion and diversity: Developing a New Model of Religious Education* (Abingdon: Routledge).

Chater, M. and Erricker, C. (2013), *Does Religious Education Have a Future?* (Abingdon: Routledge).

Kawakami, K., Phillips, C.E., Steele, J.R. and Dovidio, J.F. (2007), '(Close) distance makes the heart grow fonder: improving implicit racial attitudes and interracial interactions through approach behaviors', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17547482](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17547482)

McKeown, S., Cairns, E., Stringer, M. and Rae, G. (2012), 'Micro-ecological behavior and intergroup contact', *Journal of Social Psychology*, 152 (3). [www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00224545.2011.614647](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00224545.2011.614647)

McKeown, S., Williams, A. and Pauker, K. (2017), 'Stories that move them: changing children's behaviour toward diverse peers', *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 27 (5), 381–387. [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/casp.2316/abstract](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/casp.2316/abstract)

Orchard, J. (2015), 'Does religious education promote good community relations?', *Journal of Beliefs and Values*, 36 (1), 40–53.

Paolini, S., Hewstone, M., Cairns, E. and Voci, A. (2004), 'Effects of direct and indirect cross-group friendships on judgments of Catholics and Protestants in Northern Ireland: the mediating role of an anxiety-reduction mechanism', *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30 (6). [journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0146167203262848](http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0146167203262848)

Pettigrew, T.F. and Tropp, L.R. (2006), 'A meta-analytic test of intergroup contact theory', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90 (5), 751–783. [psycnet.apa.org/record/2006-07099-004](http://psycnet.apa.org/record/2006-07099-004)

Pettigrew, T.F. and Tropp, L.R. (2008), 'How does intergroup contact reduce prejudice? Meta-analytic tests of three mediators', *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 38 (6), 922–934. [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ejsp.504/full](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ejsp.504/full)

Rosenthal, L. and Levy, S. (2010), 'The colorblind, multicultural, and polycultural ideological approaches to improving intergroup attitudes and relations', *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 4 (1), 215–246. [www.psychology.stonybrook.edu/slevy-/Levylab/Publications\\_files/Rosenthal\\_Levy%202010%20\(SIPR\).pdf](http://www.psychology.stonybrook.edu/slevy-/Levylab/Publications_files/Rosenthal_Levy%202010%20(SIPR).pdf)

Toosi, N., Babbitt, L., Ambady, N. and Sommers, S. (2012), 'Dyadic interracial interactions: A meta-analysis', *Psychological Bulletin*, 138 (1), 1–27. [psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-25197-001](http://psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-25197-001)

Williams, A., McKeown, Orchard, J. and Wright, K. (2018), *Minding the gap: examining teachers' perceptions of psychological contact theory in their classroom practice*. [In preparation].



Web resources to support readers of this article are available at [www.natre.org/retoday-e-resources/](http://www.natre.org/retoday-e-resources/). All of the weblinks referred to in this publication can also be accessed there.